

SALEM HISTORICAL COMMISSION
MINUTES
May 3, 2023

A meeting of the Salem Historical Commission was held on Wednesday, May 3, 2023 at 6:00PM via **Zoom Virtual Meeting**. Present were: Larry Spang (Chair), Vijay Joyce, Rebecca English, Milo Martinez, Mark Meche, Jamie Graham. Staff: Patti Kelleher, Not present: Reed Cutting, Mark Pattison, Kelly Tyler-Lewis.

15 Cambridge Street—continuation

Jonathan Collins and Kristelle Lavalee submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for EV charging station (after the fact).

Ms. Kelleher reported that the applicants requested a continuation until June 2023. Chair Spang proposed continuing application to June 7 and wording the extension in a way that would catalyze action on part of applicants. If the Commission does not receive new plans for screening station by July 5 meeting, the Commission will proceed with issuing a violation.

VOTE: Mr. Martinez motioned to approve continuation to June 7 with the stipulation that further inaction would result in a violation notice. Ms. Graham seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Martinez, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

9 Cambridge Street—continuation

Nicolaus and Elisa Hofmeester submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to replace fence.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 2/20/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Mr. Meche and Mr. Joyce met with applicants in the interim between current and past meeting. The applicants showed updated presentation materials and images, and explained that they were in process of obtaining quotes from fencing companies. The applicants noted that they have a two-part proposal, beginning by explaining a planned fence and gate by Hamilton Hall side of property. Existing conditions and mockup photo were shown. Lefthand side of planned fence/gate is existing Hamilton Hall fence; righthand side starts underneath window and then swoops upward to meet existing fence. The swoop upwards will go from 4' to 5', and then 5' to 6'. Chair Spang requested photo of how the fence would look stretching to the back of property. Chair Spang clarified that a gentle arc would be used as fence swoops up to 6 feet in back of property, which the applicants affirmed.

Discussion shifted to garden fence and arbor on other side of house (neighboring 11 Cambridge St); on lefthand side, part of fence is obscured by a bay window. A conceptual drawing was shown of fence looking from driveway. A three-foot-wide gate will be set into back arc of arbor. Neighbor's arbor/fence was shown at 11 Cambridge to provide indication of the intended plan. Mr. Hofmeester noted that the applicants' arbor/fence would be slightly different than the neighbor's. Specifically, Mr. Hofmeester noted the intent to match existing style of fence in the backyard; flat-top gate; 3½" wide pickets; ¾" spacing between pickets; painted black to match. Mr. Joyce noted that fence on Hamilton Hall is near to

street, but fence to the 11 Cambridge St side of property was set back from the street a bit. Ms. Hofmeester noted that fencing companies do not provide drawings of fences.

Public comment:

Peter Pitman (of Pitman & Wardley Associates LLC), chair of facilities for Hamilton Hall, asked for clarification as to whether the fence would continue the entire extent of the property line. Mr. Hofmeester affirmed that the fence would be a continuation along the entire property line. Mr. Pitman expressed support for applicants.

VOTE: Ms. Graham motioned to approve application as submitted. Ms. English seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

149 Federal Street—continuation

Joseph Archambault submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for paint colors, siding removal, and fencing. The applicant was not in attendance.

VOTE: Mr. Meche motioned to continue application to May 17 meeting. Mr. Martinez seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

107 Leach Street—continuation

North Shore Property Group LLC submitted an application to waive the Demolition Delay Ordinance (demolish more than 50% of roof).

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/3/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Jenna Buonfiglio (attorney) was present on behalf of applicant represented by Michael Buonfiglio as well as Matt Carlson (architect). Ms. Buonfiglio noted that applicant and architect were able to meet and discuss previous Commission comments resulting from a site visit. Initial project design drawings were shown featuring double dormer on either side of house to allow added third-floor head space, extending down to back of building, leaving peak of building without dormers/“undormered.” Applicants used comments to make a new design featuring a gambrel roof. Rear view of building was also shown. Ms. Buonfiglio requested comments on new design. Ms. Buonfiglio also noted that applicants had discovered wood clapboards underneath what appeared to be fake brick material; and expressed interest in restoring to original wooden clapboards around the building.

Ms. Buonfiglio noted that gambrel roof design is in keeping with other designs of buildings in the area (i.e. three buildings across street have gambrel roofs). Chair Spang asked if the new design would be in line with zoning permissions. Ms. Buonfiglio noted that overall height would not be changing; Mr. Carlson said that overall height may decrease slightly.

Mr. Joyce expressed appreciation to applicants' openness to revisions. Mr. Joyce requested clarification that the overall height would not increase. The mean roof height will be slightly higher than existing mean roof height, Mr. Carlson said (explaining difference between proposed and mean height). Mr. Joyce said that the new design is in keeping with massing of structures around the neighborhood. Ms. Graham expressed preference for new design option rather than the initial addition of shed dormer. Ms. English and Mr. Meche concurred with Ms. Graham.

Mr. Meche commented on the bulkiness of the addition in the new design and questioned the meaning of "preferably preserved" in the language of the DDO. Chair Spang expressed concern that there was not a lot of detail along the fascia whereas the current state of the property does show a pronounced ridge line where the roof meets the top of the second floor. Mr. Joyce noted that lowering upper pitch of roof would be advisable. Chair Spang pointed out that other structures with gambrel roofs in the neighborhood feature half-moon windows, as a matter of consideration for the applicants on the revised design.

Ms. Kelleher noted that a letter was received from Councilor Jeff Cohen in supporting of waiving the DDO, citing the North Shore Property Group's sensitivity to the neighborhood and respect garnered from neighbors. Councilor Cohen cited other favorable work North Shore Property had done in recent history, such as with a South Salem property that burned down.

No public comment.

Mr. Meche asked if removing vinyl siding would be a commitment moving forward. Mr. Buonfiglio noted a play-it-by-ear approach given that the overall state of the clapboards remains unknown. Chair Spang recommended keeping as much of the historic fabric and flare as possible.

Ms. Kelleher reminded Commission members that historical significance and preferable preservation would be two separate votes: if the new design plans were found respectful and approvable, then the vote would be *not* preferably preserved. Mr. Meche noted that more than 50% of existing hip roof needs to be demolished.

VOTE: Mr. Joyce motioned in favor of finding the property historically significant. Mr. Meche seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham (absent), English, Meche, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

VOTE: Mr. Joyce motioned to waive demolition delay based on plans presented with gambrel roof and altered roofline. Ms. English seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham (absent), English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

275 Lafayette Street—continuation

MD Property Development LLC submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to renovate building and new construction.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 5/3/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Dan Ricciarelli (Seger Architects, 83 North), Julia Mooradian (Seger Architects), Drew Murphy (MD Property Development), and Jack Galsted (MD Property Development) were present. Mr. Ricciarelli noted that alterations had been made to the project, increasing from 10 to 15 units. The applicants had presented the ZBA with a proposal for a 5-unit building in existing chapel building on Summit Ave. Existing photos from parking lot off Lafayette and Summit were shown. The boundary of the historic district jogs at this property, which Mr. Ricciarelli characterized as “anomalous.” Mr. Murphy expressed desire to enhance existing property and align with existing and new buildings in area of Lafayette Street. Over last 25 years, modifications to building have been made which do not appear to have complied with existing historic guidelines. Existing basement, first floor, and second floor plans were shown. Building is currently clad in shakes which are worn down; and according to MACRIS, asphalt shingles previously featured. Applicants proposed to clad building in clapboard. Proposed east and south elevations were shown. Entrances to planned units were explained; each unit would have yard with fencing (which would help screen condenser units). Secondary exits and planned stairs to secondary entrance/exits were described. The windows (which are replacement windows) were said to have been in great shape; estimated to have been extant/in use for 15 years. Four new (Anderson) windows were proposed. The existing windows are not simulated divided lites. Mr. Ricciarelli described a variety of planned materials: roof, 3-tab light grey architectural shingles are planned; painted wood post and caps were shown; vent cap painted to match; painted solid wood fence; 6 lite 2 panel fiberglass door; and with wood railings and balusters painted.

Inset dormer was shown on proposed north elevation. Small canopy was planned to be added to side door entrance, also on proposed north elevation (similar to what was recently added on 3 Federal Ct). Massing will mainly stay the same to the street.

Mr. Meche pointed out a typo that two separate east elevations were shown, which Ms. Mooradian corrected. Mr. Murphy noted that National Grid was not amenable to placing meters in the basement; and expressed awareness of the necessity of shielding their installation on the outside of the building. Electric service was to be coming off side of building on Summit Ave.

Chair Spang clarified that zoning has approved the 5-unit building at Summit. Chair Spang clarified that goal is hardy plank rather than clapboard, which applicants affirmed; though plan reads as “composite wood”; either comba or borel (resin-based) wood product. Mr. Joyce asked if the smooth side would face out for hardy, which Mr. Ricciarelli affirmed. For the front elevation, 7” larger exposure would be used; and 4” for the remaining. Ms. Mooradian noted that the addition of a sideways stairway on Summit side would allow for two parking spaces as well as a turnaround space so that guests do not have to back out onto Summit Ave; Mr. Murphy noted that this would also allow for more landscaping. Mr. Ricciarelli noted that it was awkward to have a stair facing straight down to the street.

Mr. Meche noted that a historic photo of the property features in the inventory. Mr. Ricciarelli noted that the property was built for parishioners and has gone through a lot of varied use over the years. Chair Spang characterized the double gable as confusing; perhaps more so in elevation form than existing conditions. Chair Spang recommended taking the piece that is most outwards and treating it like a pediment rather than an end gable wall, before characterizing its window as “ginormous.” Chair Spang also noted that it is not customary to see a “gable at the end of a gable.” Mr. Meche noted that the window was moved from elsewhere on the building to its current placement (which now sits over doorway under the double gable). Chair Spang was desirous of placing columns at end of the pediment.

Mr. Meche questioned if two windows to sides of pediment/gable were existing, which Mr. Ricciarelli affirmed.

Mr. Joyce and Mr. Meche expressed support for planned materials. Mr. Martinez asked if Summit Ave was a named street in the district, which Ms. Kelleher affirmed though noted it is not included in the boundaries. Nonetheless, this parcel in its entirety *does* exist within boundaries, meaning that all elevations of this property are reviewable/under jurisdiction.

Mr. Ricciarelli was desirous of a vote on materials in order to move project forward. Chair Spang questioned if window details were part of the desired vote, which Mr. Ricciarelli affirmed.

Public Comment:

Councilor Jeff Cohen submitted an email in support of project.

Chair Spang was desirous of seeing more alternatives considered on the portico of the west elevation. Mr. Meche felt that this was the first adaptive reuse the Commission had seen; and questioned whether the Commission's ordinance recognized difference between district standards and adaptive reuse in any way. Ms. Kelleher noted that the standards were applicable for any rehabilitation; but the guidance does not have anything to do with changing to adaptive reuse. Chair Spang cited Broad Street School and Ms. English cited rectory on Lafayette as adaptive reuse projects.

Ms. Kelleher cited past applications which have been approved in concept with final details to be coordinated with Commission members, such as Oliver St and Derby St storefront projects. Ms. Mooradian pointed out windows with lettering on drawings and indicated that gray windows on drawing were new.

VOTE: Mr. Joyce motioned to approve proposed renovations of existing building in concept as generally shown on drawings submitted, with adjustments to be made (such as corrected labeling of elevation and changing of materials to hardy plank), including addition of dormers on the east elevation, final details including windows, trim, detailing of stairs to be reviewed by a subset of the Commission; bracketed covers on porches, added Mr. Meche. Mr. Meche seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham (absent), English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

170 Federal Street

Timothy Obert and Matthew Obey submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for new vents.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 3/28/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Mr. Obert was present. Installation of new HVAC has required addition of new vent. The location was said to not be ideal but was the only location identified as possible. This would be visible from Federal Street, though hidden from view due to trees on property. The vent is for a heat pump system that has a gas backup system; this would be venting for that gas component. Image of vent design was shown. Mr.

Meche noted that electric resistance coils might be an alternative (they would not need vents), though Mr. Obert noted that system has already been installed (with the exception of vents). Chair Spang recommended less obtrusive vents the Commission had recently seen that are lozenge-shaped. Mr. Obert felt that the planned/pictured vents were the only option available based on installers' guidance. Chair Spang commended the planned location exiting the skirtboard. Mr. Obert noted that the vent cannot be pushed back further from the street. Mr. Obert noted that he would inquire as to whether to pipes could both be painted white (whereas one was shown as black).

No public comment.

Mr. Martinez advocated the lozenge-shaped vent, which Mr. Obert was amenable to. Mr. Obert was desirous of obtaining an approval of the proposed vents as a backup in order to move the project along without further delays.

VOTE: Ms. English motioned to approve lozenge-shaped vent, vent as shown, and potentially a third alternative to coordinate with deputized Commission members. Mr. Joyce seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham (absent), English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

393 Essex Street—continuation

Old Fezziwig LLC submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for vents, fire alarm, and fence screen.

Documents & Exhibits

- Application: 3/7/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Julia Mooradian, Dan Ricciarelli, and Carling Audette were present to propose a 6-foot board fence to enclose/screen five condenser units in rear. Fence would match existing; view from the street was shown. Fire beacon (i.e. a flashing red light) was proposed to be placed on clapboard on right side of the building from Essex Street. Rear of building was shown with location of vent planned; painted to match. Additional vents were shown (also in rear of building). Photograph showed installation of a fire connection under first floor window. Mr. Ricciarelli noted that this beacon needs to be in close proximity to fire control panel. Mr. Ricciarelli noted that the Fire Department had already been accommodating in placing the beacon on the side of the building rather than on the Essex St-facing façade.

No public comment.

Ms. Audette noted that a strobe light and small red bell are required; the light will be placed up close to flood light wire, above second floor. Chair Spang noted that the only other piece would be hose connection under the first floor window. Ms. Graham questioned what qualified a building as "multi-family" thus necessitating the addition of a fire beacon, which Mr. Ricciarelli answered by noting that sprinklers are a requirement once three units are in the upper floors.

VOTE: Ms. English motioned to approve new vents and screening. Mr. Martinez seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

VOTE: Ms. English motioned to approve fire alarm. Mr. Martinez seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

393 Essex Street

Old Fezziwig LLC submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to recreate front fence.

Documents & Exhibits:

- Application: 3/27/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Ms. Mooradian showed historic image of front façade of home showing fence with top and bottom rails, and columns. Mr. Ricciarelli cited fence on corner of Broad and Flint as one which the owner is in favor of (characterized as “McIntire-esque”) and which would make a handsome addition to front of the building. Mr. Meche clarified the intent to use the photographs to “recreate” historic fence, though Mr. Ricciarelli noted that the existing fence at Broad and Flint would also be considered.

Chair Spang requested a drawing of what was being proposed. Mr. Ricciarelli noted that a drawing exists of base and spindle. Chair Spang wanted to better understand placement of pickets, main post, and so forth. Ms. Graham also proposed receiving an elevation of the planned fence. Mr. Joyce was also interested in seeing the ornate box posts, to which Mr. Ricciarelli noted that urns would not feature as part of the end fence result.

No public comment.

VOTE: Mr. Joyce motioned to continue application. Ms. English seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

33 Warren Street

Joseph Kaye submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness to replace fence gate.

Documents & Exhibits:

- Application: 3/20/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Joseph Kaye (owner) was present. The driveway entrance on Flint Street was renovated, and Mr. Kaye desired to install gate to entrance at the driveway. A Gate used to exist at the planned location upon buying it. The gate rotted and was removed; new pavers were added and so forth. JC Fence company was identified as fence maker. Tongue and groove shiplap panels would match green fence. Images of planned fences and fence products were shown. Chair Spang asked if the fence was planned to be automated, which Mr. Kaye was not desirous of. Mr. Meche was not a fan of the molding shown; was desirous of something more primitive. Mr. Meche proposed to chamfer the frame (which is known as a “stop chamfer”). Mr. Joyce noted that simple chamfer which would taper back up a half inch or so. Mr. Joyce asked if the hinge would be on the interior side, which Mr. Kaye responded to note it would be on the driveway side. Fence company would lag bolt fence to steel hinge (painted black), Mr. Kaye said.

No public comment.

VOTE: Mr. Joyce motioned to approve driveway entry gate as submitted with caveat that stop chamfer be placed on interior frame going around; details to match existing fence. Mr. Martinez seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

407 Essex Street

Michael K. Realty Trust submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for new electrical meter.

Documents & Exhibits:

- Application: 3/22/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Mike Kantorosinski was present to detail proposal to add a secondary meter. Mike noted that the building would be converted back to a two-bedroom apartment. A common area will thus be made available in the building, and an electrical meter would be needed to service common area. Cut sheet was shown specifying ringless meter socket (U3741-XL-100-BL). Mr. Meche clarified that the profile would be seen from the street. Mike noted that the meter would be seen on side of building facing driveway between side of building and ASAP Drains. Mr. Meche showed a street view of the proposed location of meter. Mr. Martinez questioned if the existing meter can be modified to provide the added electrical service rather than adding a separate meter.

No public comment.

Ms. Graham requested that new conduit be painted to match existing siding color. Mr. Meche and Mr. Martinez requested that new box would encapsulate all three meters (as a suggestion rather than a requirement).

VOTE: Mr. Martinez motioned to approve application with a preference for a new box that would encapsulate all three meters or an additional box as a new meter, with conduit painted to match siding. Ms. Graham seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

1 Forrester Street

Joshua and Jennifer MacGregor submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for new fence.

Documents & Exhibits:

- Application: 3/31/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Mr. Martinez recused himself as an abutter.

Mr. and Ms. MacGregor were present on the call. Aerial view of mocked up gate was shown. Mockup of fence was also shown; a wooden picket. Mr. MacGregor noted that a plain cedar picket was desired. Chair Spang clarified that backyard would be enclosed and parking would be left open; noting that in the old days, a solid board fence would have been used. Chair Spang preferred lower pickets, but was open to five or six feet for safety reasons (for safety of applicants' child). Ms. Kelleher cited the fence on Essex St behind the Custom House - the Narbonne House - as a viable model. Ms. MacGregor questioned the presence of supports (rails and diagonal bracing) as concerns for a toddler to vault over. Mr. Meche recommended using the red board fence on Essex (near corner of Flint) as a model. Chair Spang clarified the applicants' interest in two options: four- of five-foot picket fence to match Narbonne house, with finish to be determined with gate at driveway as pictured; or a solid privacy fence, finish to be determined, panels in front of posts and gate in same location (as well as hardware for either option). Chair Spang advised applicants to notify fence installers that this would be a fence for a historic district that needs to comply with guidelines.

Public comment:

Milo Martinez spoke to the caliber of the applicants in expressing support for application.

VOTE: Mr. Joyce motioned to approve application with stipulations set forth above. Ms. Graham seconded the motion. Roll Call: Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried (Martinez abstained).

18 Franklin Street

Bay View Station LLC submitted an application to request to waive the Demolition Delay Ordinance (demolish 1951 masonry building).

Documents & Exhibits:

- Application: 5/3/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Jacob Snyder (attorney) was present on behalf of Bay View Station LLC as was Marc Tranos. The structure is a simple cinder block building; one side is a garage and another side was an office space at some point. The intended replacement structure was shown: a multi-building unit featuring townhouses. Mr. Tranos noted that the project is 37 units (across four buildings) with four secured for affordable housing; parking on first level. An outline showing where all buildings would land was shown.

Chair Spang questioned if this building would be demolished and then covered up with a new development rather than left empty. Marc expressed confidence that work would commence following demolition. Chair Spang asked the applicants to take photographs of the building prior to demolition to retain for public record.

No public comment.

VOTE: Ms. English motioned to find the building not historically significant. Ms. Graham seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

18 Scenic Avenue

Brant and Nichole Engstrom submitted a request to waive the Demolition Delay Ordinance (remove more than 50% of roof).

Documents & Exhibits:

- Application: 5/3/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Mr. Engstrom was present. Mr. Engstrom explained the intent to add a level to the house which would include master bedroom, bathroom, and closet. Elevations were shown. The existing roof would be taken off and two dormers added. The applicant wished to emulate other properties in the neighborhood. Mr. Engstrom noted that the building is not in a historic district. 24 Scenic Avenue was cited as a neighboring property that did something similar; Mr. Engstrom showed a photograph of property, though this property did not add dormers. Mr. Engstrom noted that they had not been before the ZBA for this project yet.

No public comment.

VOTE: Mr. Joyce motioned to find the building not historically significant. Ms. English seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

1 Forrester Street

Joshua MacGregor submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for a new shed.

Documents & Exhibits:

- Application: 4/10/23
- Slideshow/photographs

Image of “Chateau” model shed was shown. Mr. Joyce questioned if the windows on the shed were stock options, which Mr. MacGregor affirmed. Mr. Joyce asked how much customization is available for this option. Mr. MacGregor noted that the installers bring the item to assemble onsite. Mr. Joyce desired that finer details of shed be tweaked. Chair Spang cited a similar past application from Orange Square. Mr. MacGregor was open to making window casings with pine clapboards to match the house; painting same color scheme. Mr. Joyce proposed emulating the existing carriage house-ish addition on the house as a model for the shed. Mr. Meche asked if windows would be needed, to which Mr. MacGregor noted that the shed would essentially be storage. Mr. Joyce and Mr. Meche recommended true divided lites if there was to be no insulation. The applicants were advised that Ms. Kelleher would send information pertaining to prior applications that were granted.

VOTE: Mr. Joyce motioned to continue the application. Ms. Graham seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez (abstained), Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

The advertised notice for this meeting noted that the following applications may be continued due to time constraints.

178 Forrester Street

Daniel Gutierrez submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for an electrical service upgrade.

1 Harrington Court

Deirdre Majeski submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for new roof, trim, and gutters.

24 Chestnut Street

Nathan Ritsko submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for a new skylight.

15 Warren Street

Catherine Miller submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness for a new door.

VOTE: Ms. Graham motioned to continue the applications for 178 Forrester, 1 Harrington, 24 Chestnut, and 15 Warren. Mr. Martinez seconded the motion. Roll Call: Martinez, Graham, English, Meche, Joyce, Spang were in favor and the motion so carried.

Other Business

HSI Awards Committee

Ms. English expressed interest in joining if the group will be meeting virtually.

Adjournment

VOTE: Mr. Joyce motioned to adjourn. Ms. English seconded the motion. All were in favor and the motion so carried.

The meeting adjourned at 10:05PM.

Respectfully submitted,
Dan Graham, Historical Commission Clerk